

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,844	10/23/2003	Bishwajit Nag	121633-40306509	5401
43569 75	90 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP 1909 K STREET, N.W.			BARTS, SAMUEL A	
WASHINGTON	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/690,844	NAG ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Samuel A. Barts	1621
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS A SECOND IN THE PROPERTY OF THE ATE OF T	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositio	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicatio	Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9,10,14-18 and 24-42 is/are parts. Aa) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9,10,14-18,20 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 24,26,27 and 30-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 35-42 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and parts. The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the	Examiner.
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction of the correction is objected to by the Example 2 in the correction is objected to by the Example 2 in the correction of	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	•	Administration the attached Office	, AMION OF IONN 1 10-102.
12)□ <i>A</i> a)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Inity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/690,844

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicants have amended the claims to obviate the previous office action rejections.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 35-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/690,844

Art Unit: 1621

4. Claims 24, 26, 27 and 30-33 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 11-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,626,197. For reasons see office action dated 4/28/2005.¹

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 24, 26, 27 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heeres et al (US 3,927,017.

Heeres et al disclosed a variety of compounds that are fully embraced by the instant claims².

For example Heeres et al disclosed the following compound:

¹ Please note that this is not a new rejection. The rejection was initially made in the office action dated 4/28/2005. Applicant responded that a terminal disclaimer would be filed when the case was in condition for allowance. The rejection was inadvertently omitted in the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,844 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

The compound anticipates the claims when R₂= R₃ = R₄= R₅ = X= H; R₁=R₄=halo; A=COOR₈ wherein R₈=methyl. Please note that the compound itself reads on the pharmaceutical composition claims. The recitation of a pharmaceutical composition for treating diabetes has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

² See compounds in Example V in column 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,844 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel A Barts Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621